arXiv:0801.4692v1 [math.DG] 30 Jan 2008

KILLING VECTOR FIELDS OF STANDARD STATIC SPACE-TIMES

FERNANDO DOBARRO AND BÜLENT ÜNAL

Abstract. We consider Killing vector fields on standard static space-times and obtain equations for a vector field on a standard static space-time to be Killing. We also provide a characterization of Killing vector fields on standard static space-times with compact Riemannian parts.

Contents

1. Introduction 1
2. Killing Vector Fields 3
3. Non-rotating Killing vector fields 15
4. Conclusions 18
Appendix A. 18
References 19

Date: February 3, 2008.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 53C21, 53C50, 53C80.

Key words and phrases. Warped products, Killing vector fields, standard static space-times, non-rotating vector fields.

1. Introduction

Warped product manifolds were introduced in general relativity as a method to find general solutions to Einstein's field equations [5, 22]. Two important examples include generalized Robertson-Walker space-times and standard static space-times. The former are obviously a generalization of Robertson-Walker space-times and the latter a generalization of the Einstein static universe. In this paper, we focus on Killing vector fields for standard static space-times.

We recall that a warped product can be defined as follows [5, 22]. Let (B, g_B) and (F, g_F) be pseudo-Riemannian manifolds and also let $b\colon B \to (0, \infty)$ be a smooth function. Then the (singly) warped product, $B \times_b F$ is the product manifold $B \times F$ furnished with the metric tensor $g = g_B \oplus b^2 g_F$ defined by

$$g = \pi^*(g_B) \oplus (b \circ \pi)^2 \sigma^*(g_F)$$

where $\pi\colon B \times F \to B$ and $\sigma\colon B \times F \to F$ are the usual projection maps and * denotes the pull-back operator on tensors. A standard static space-time can be considered as a Lorentzian warped product where the warping function is defined on a Riemannian manifold called the base and acting on the negative definite metric on an open interval of real numbers, called the fiber. More precisely, a standard static space-time $(t_1, t_2)_f \times F$ is a Lorentzian warped product furnished with the metric $g = -f^2 \mathrm{d}t^2 \oplus g_F$, where (F, g_F) is a Riemannian manifold, $f\colon F \to (0, \infty)$ is smooth and $-\infty \leq t_1 < t_2 \leq \infty$. In [22], it was shown that any static space-time is locally isometric to a standard static space-time.

Standard static space-times have been previously studied by many authors. Kobayashi and Obata [20] stated the geodesic equation for this class of space-times and the causal structure and geodesic completeness was considered in [2], where sufficient conditions on the warping function for nonspacelike geodesic completeness of the standard static space-time was obtained (see also [23]). In [1], conditions are found which guarantee that standard static space-times either satisfy or else fail to satisfy certain curvature conditions from general relativity. In [3], D. Allison considered the global hyperbolicity of standard static space-times and obtained sufficient conditions. The problems of geodesic completeness and global hyperbolicity of standard static space-times have been also studied by M. Sánchez in [28] with $I = \mathbb{R}$ where (F, g_F) behaves at most quadratically (at infinity). The existence of geodesics in standard static space-times have been studied by several authors. Sánchez [27] gives a good overview of geodesic connectedness in semi-Riemannian manifolds, including a discussion for standard static space-times. The geodesic structure of standard static space-times has been studied in [4] and conditions are found which imply nonreturning and pseudoconvex geodesic systems. As a consequence, it is shown that if the complete Riemannian factor manifold F satisfies the nonreturning property and has a pseudoconvex geodesic system and if the warping function

$f\colon F \to (0,\infty)$ is bounded from above then the standard static space-time $(a,b)_f \times F$ is geodesically connected. In [13], some conditions for the Riemannian factor and the warping function of a standard static space-time are obtained in order to guarantee that no nontrivial warping function on the Riemannian factor can make the standard static space-time Einstein. In a recent note [29], the authors discussed conditions for static Killing vector fields to be standard and then they obtained an interesting uniqueness result when the so called natural space (in the case of a standard static space-time, this is the Riemannian part) is compact.

Two of the most famous examples of standard static space-times are the Minkowski space-time and the Einstein static universe [5, 12, 19] which is $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{S}^3$ equipped with the metric

$$g = -\mathrm{d}t^2 + (\mathrm{d}r^2 + \sin^2 r\mathrm{d}\theta^2 + \sin^2 r \sin^2 \theta \mathrm{d}\phi^2)$$

where $\mathbb{S}^3$ is the usual 3-dimensional Euclidean sphere and the warping function $f \equiv 1$ (*see Remark 2.19*). Another well-known example is the universal covering space of anti-de Sitter space-time, a standard static space-time of the form $\mathbb{R}_f \times \mathbb{H}^3$ where $\mathbb{H}^3$ is the 3-dimensional hyperbolic space with constant negative sectional curvature and the warping function $f\colon \mathbb{H}^3 \to (0,\infty)$ defined as $f(r,\theta,\phi) = \cosh r$ [5, 19]. Finally, we can also mention the Exterior Schwarzschild space-time [5, 19], a standard static space-time of the form $\mathbb{R}_f \times (2m,\infty) \times \mathbb{S}^2$, where $\mathbb{S}^2$ is the 2-dimensional Euclidean sphere, the warping function $f\colon (2m,\infty) \times \mathbb{S}^2 \to (0,\infty)$ is given by $f(r,\theta,\phi) = \sqrt{1-2m/r}$, $r > 2m$ and the line element on $(2m,\infty) \times \mathbb{S}^2$ is

$$\mathrm{d}s^2 = \left(1 - \frac{2m}{r}\right)^{-1} \mathrm{d}r^2 + r^2(\mathrm{d}\theta^2 + \sin^2\theta\mathrm{d}\phi^2).$$

In this article, we deal with questions of existence and characterization of Killing vector fields in standard static space times[1].

The problem of the existence of Killing vector fields in semi-Riemannian manifolds has been analyzed by many authors (physicists and mathematicians) with different points of view and by using several techniques. One of the recent articles of Sánchez (i.e, [25]) is devoted to provide a review about these questions in the framework of Lorentzian geometry. Another interesting results for Riemannian warped products can be found in [8] and for 4−dimensional warped space-times in [10].

In [26] Sánchez studied the structure of Killing vector fields on a generalized Robertson-Walker space-time. He obtained necessary and sufficient conditions for a vector field to be Killing on generalized Robertson-Walker space-times and gave a characterization of them as well as an explicit list for the globally hyperbolic case.

---

[1] We would like to inform the reader that some of the results provided in this article were previously announced in the arXiv preprint service as [15].

After this brief explanations of some of the major works in the geometry of warped products, especially in the geometry of standard static space-times, we will provide an outline of the paper below.

In *Section 2*, we study Killing vector fields of standard static space-times. Firstly we show necessary and sufficient conditions for a vector field of the form $h\partial_t + V$ to be a conformal Killing (see *Proposition 2.4*).
Then adapting the techniques of Sánchez in [26] to standard static space-times $M = I_f \times F$, we give the structure of a generic Killing vector field on M in the central result of this section (see *Theorem 2.8*). Essentially, we reduce the problem to the study of a parametric system of partial differential equations (involving the Hessian) on the Riemannian part (F, g_F).
By studying on the latter system (see (2.25) and (2.30)) and applying the well known results about the solutions (ν, u) of a weighted elliptic problem, with $w \in C^\infty_{>0}$,

$$-\Delta_{g_F} u = \nu w u \text{ on } (F, g_F).$$

on a compact Riemannian manifold without boundary (F, g_F), we characterize the Killing vector fields on a standard static space-time with *compact* Riemannian part in *Theorem 2.18.* More explicitly, if (F, g_F) is a compact Riemannian manifold, then the set of Killing vector fields on a standard static space time $I_f \times F$ is

$$\{a\partial_t + \tilde{K} \,|\, a \in \mathbb{R}, \tilde{K} \text{ is a Killing vector field on } (F, g_F) \text{ and } \tilde{K}(f) = 0\}.$$

In Remark, 2.19 we show that a relation between the result mentioned above and an article (see [30]) of R. A. Sharipov about Killing vector fields of a closed homogeneous and isotropic universe.

In *Section 3*, as an application of our results in *Section 2* we consider the question of the existence of non-rotating Killing vector fields on a standard static space-time where the Riemannian part is compact and simply connected.

In *Appendix*, we provide an equivalent expression of a general Killing vector field on a standard static space-time and an application of our results to the well known case of the Minkowski space-time.

2. Killing Vector Fields

We begin by stating the formal definition of a standard static space-time and then recall some elementary definitions and facts (see Section 3 of [26]). But first, we want to emphasize some important notational issues. Throughout the paper I will denote an open connected interval of the form $I = (t_1, t_2)$ in $\mathbb{R}$, where $-\infty \leq t_1 < t_2 \leq \infty$. Moreover, any underlying manifold is assumed to be connected. Finally, on an arbitrary differentiable manifold N, $C^\infty_{>0}(N)$ denotes the set of all strictly positive C^∞ functions defined on N and $\mathfrak{X}(N)$ will denote the $C^\infty(N)-$module of smooth vector fields on N.

Definition 2.1. Let (F, g_F) be an s-dimensional Riemannian manifold and $f\colon F \to (0, \infty)$ be a smooth function. Then $n(= s+1)$-dimensional product manifold $I \times F$ furnished with the metric tensor $g = -f^2 \mathrm{d}t^2 \oplus g_F$ is called a standard static space-time and is denoted by $I_f \times F$, where $\mathrm{d}t^2$ is the Euclidean metric tensor on I.

We will now define Killing and conformal-Killing vector fields on an arbitrary pseudo-Riemannian manifold. Let $(\overline{M}, \overline{g})$ be an $\overline{n}$-dimensional pseudo-Riemannian manifold and $X \in \mathfrak{X}(\overline{M})$ be a vector field on $\overline{M}$. Then

- X is said to be Killing if $\mathrm{L}_X \overline{g} = 0$,
- X is said to be conformal-Killing if there exists a smooth function $\sigma\colon \overline{M} \to \mathbb{R}$ such that $\mathrm{L}_X \overline{g} = 2\sigma \overline{g}$,

where L_X denotes the Lie derivative with respect to X. Moreover, for any Y and Z in $\mathfrak{X}(\overline{M})$, we have the following identity (see [22, p.162 and p.61])

$$\mathrm{L}_X \overline{g}(Y, Z) = \overline{g}(\nabla_Y X, Z) + \overline{g}(Y, \nabla_Z X). \tag{2.1}$$

Remark 2.2. On $(I, g_I = \pm \mathrm{d}t^2)$ any vector field is conformal Killing. Indeed, if X is a vector field on (I, g_I), then X can be expressed as $X = h\partial_t$ for some smooth function $h \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(I)$. Hence, $\mathrm{L}_X g_I = 2\sigma g_I$ with $\sigma = h'$.

We will now state a simple result which will be useful in our study (see [26], [32] and page 126 of [31]).

Proposition 2.3. *Let $M = I_f \times F$ be a standard static space-time with the metric $g = f^2 g_I \oplus g_F$, where $g_I = -\mathrm{d}t^2$. Suppose that $X, Y, Z \in \mathfrak{X}(I)$ and $V, W, U \in \mathfrak{X}(F)$. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{L}_{X+V} g(Y+W, Z+U) &= f^2 \mathrm{L}^I_X g_I(Y, Z) + 2fV(f) g_I(Y, Z) \\ &+ \mathrm{L}^F_V g_F(W, U) \end{aligned}$$

Note that if $h\colon I \to \mathbb{R}$ is smooth and $Y, Z \in \mathfrak{X}(I)$, then

$$\mathrm{L}_{h\partial_t} g_I(Y, Z) = Y(h) g_I(Z, \partial_t) + Z(h) g_I(Y, \partial_t). \tag{2.2}$$

By combining the previous statements we can prove the following:

Proposition 2.4. *Let $M = I_f \times F$ be a standard static space-time with the metric $g = -f^2 \mathrm{d}t^2 \oplus g_F$. Suppose that $h\colon I \to \mathbb{R}$ is smooth and V is a vector field on F. Then $h\partial_t + V$ is a conformal-Killing vector field on M with $\sigma \in C^\infty(M)$ if and only if the followings are satisfied:*

1. *V is conformal-Killing on F with $\sigma \in C^\infty(F)$,*
2. *h is affine, i.e, there exist real numbers μ and ν such that $h(t) = \mu t + \nu$ for any $t \in I$,*
3. *$V(f) = (\sigma - \mu) f$.*

Proof. (1) follows from *Proposition 2.3* by taking $Y = Z = 0$ and a separation of variables argument. On the other hand, from *Proposition 2.3* with

$W = U = 0$, (2.2) and Remark 2.2, we have $(\sigma - h')f = V(f)$. Hence, again by separation of variables, h' is constant and then (2) is obtained. Thus, (3) is clear.

By using similar computations described as above, the converse turns out to be a consequence of the decomposition of any vector field on M, i.e., as a sum of its horizontal and vertical parts. □

Corollary 2.5. *Let $M = I_f \times F$ be a standard static space-time with the metric $g = -f^2 \mathrm{d}t^2 \oplus g_F$. Suppose that $h\colon I \to \mathbb{R}$ is smooth and V is a vector field on F. Then $h\partial_t + V$ is a Killing vector field on M if and only if the followings are satisfied:*

1. *V is Killing on F,*
2. *h is affine, i.e, there exist real numbers μ and ν such that $h(t) = \mu t + \nu$ for any $t \in I$,*
3. *$V(f) = -\mu f$.*

Proof. It is sufficient to apply Proposition 2.4 with $\sigma \equiv 0$. □

Notation 2.6. Let h be a given a continuous function defined on a real interval I. If there exists a point $t_0 \in I$ such that $h(t_0) \neq 0$, then I_{t_0} denotes the connected component of $\{t \in I : h(t) \neq 0\}$ such that $t_0 \in I_{t_0}$.

In what follows, we will make use part of the arguments given in [26] (see also [10]) about the structure of Killing and conformal-Killing vector fields in warped products. In [26] by applying such arguments, M. Sánchez obtains full characterizations of the Killing and conformal-Killing vector fields in generalized Robertson-Walker space-times. In order to be more explanatory, we begin by adapting his procedure to our set of frame.

Let (B, g_B) and (F, g_F) be two semi-Riemannian manifolds with dimensions r and $s > 0$ respectively, and also let $f \in C^\infty_{>0}(F)$ be. Consider the warped metric $g = f^2 g_B + g_F$ on $B \times F$. Given a vector field Z on $B \times F$, we will write $Z = Z_B + Z_F$ with $Z_B = (\pi_{B*}(Z), 0)$ and $Z_F = (0, \pi_{F*}(Z))$, the projections onto the natural foliations ($B_q = B \times \{q\}$, $q \in F$ and $F_p = \{p\} \times F, p \in B$). Any covariant or contravariant tensor field ω on one of the factors (B or F) induces naturally a tensor field on $B \times F$ (i.e. the lift), which either will be denoted by the same symbol ω, or else (when necessary) will be distinguished by putting a bar on it, i.e, $\overline{\omega}$.

Proposition 2.7. *(see Proposition 3.6 in* [26]*) If K is a Killing vector field on $M = B_f \times F$, then K_B is a conformal Killing vector field on B_q for any $q \in F$ and K_F is a Killing vector field on F_p for any $p \in B$.*

Suppose that $\{C_{\overline{a}} \in \mathfrak{X}(B) | \overline{a} = 1, \cdots, \overline{r}\}$ is a basis for the set of all conformal-Killing vector fields on B and $\{K_{\overline{b}} \in \mathfrak{X}(F) | \overline{b} = 1, \cdots, \overline{s}\}$ is a basis for the set of all Killing vector fields on F.

According to [26] (see also [8, Sections 7 and 8]), Killing vector fields on a warped product of the form $M = B_f \times F$ with the metric $g = f^2 g_B \oplus g_F$ can be given as

$$K = \psi^{\overline{a}} C_{\overline{a}} + \phi^{\overline{b}} K_{\overline{b}}, \tag{2.3}$$

where $\phi^{\overline{b}} \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(B)$ and $\psi^{\overline{a}} \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(F)$.

Define $K_B = \psi^{\overline{a}} C_{\overline{a}}$ and $K_F = \phi^{\overline{b}} K_{\overline{b}}$. Moreover, $\hat{K}_{\overline{b}} = g_F(K_{\overline{b}}, \cdot)$ and $\hat{C}_{\overline{a}} = g_B(C_{\overline{a}}, \cdot)$.

Then Proposition 3.8 of [26] implies that a vector field K of the form (2.3) is Killing on $B_f \times F$ if and only if the following equations are satisfied:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{rcl} \psi^{\overline{a}} \sigma_{\overline{a}} + K_F(\theta) & = & 0 \\ \mathrm{d}\phi^{\overline{b}} \otimes \hat{K}_{\overline{b}} + \hat{C}_{\overline{a}} \otimes f^2 \mathrm{d}\psi^{\overline{a}} & = & 0, \end{array} \right. \tag{2.4}$$

where $C_{\overline{a}}$ is a conformal-Killing vector field on B with $\sigma_{\overline{a}} \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(B)$, i.e. $\mathrm{L}^B_{C_{\overline{a}}} g_B = 2\sigma_{\overline{a}} g_B$ and $\theta = \ln f$.

Let (F, g_F) be a Riemannian manifold of dimension s admitting at least one *nonzero* Killing vector field on (F, g_F) and $f \in C^\infty_{>0}(F)$. Thus, there exists a basis $\{K_{\overline{b}} \in \mathfrak{X}(F) |\, \overline{b} = 1, \cdots, \overline{s}\}$ for the set of Killing vector fields on F.

Let I be an open interval of the form $I = (t_1, t_2)$ in $\mathbb{R}$, where $-\infty \leq t_1 < t_2 \leq \infty$ furnished with the metric $-\mathrm{d}t^2$. Recalling Remark 2.2, we observe that the dimension of the set of conformal Killing vector fields on $(I, -\mathrm{d}t^2)$ is infinite so that one cannot apply directly the above procedure due to M. Sánchez before observing that the form of conformal Killing vector fields on $(I, -\mathrm{d}t^2)$ is explicit. Indeed, it is easy to prove that all the computations are valid by considering the form of any conformal Killing vector field on $(I, -\mathrm{d}t^2)$, namely $h\partial_t$ where $h \in C^\infty(I)$, instead of the finite basis of conformal Killing vector fields in the procedure of M. Sánchez .

If we apply the latter adapted technique to the standard static space-time $M = I_f \times F$ with the metric $g = f^2 g_I \oplus g_F$ where $g_I = -\mathrm{d}t^2$, then a vector field $K \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$ is a Killing vector field if and only if K can be written in the form

$$K = \psi h \partial_t + \phi^{\overline{b}} K_{\overline{b}}, \tag{2.5}$$

where h and $\phi^{\overline{b}} \in C^\infty(I)$ for any $\overline{b} \in \{1, \cdots, \overline{m}\}$ and $\psi \in C^\infty(F)$ satisfies the following version of System (2.4)

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{rcl} h'\psi + \phi^{\overline{b}} K_{\overline{b}}(\ln f) & = & 0 \\ \mathrm{d}\phi^{\overline{b}} \otimes g_F(K_{\overline{b}}, \cdot) + g_I(h\partial_t, \cdot) \otimes f^2 \mathrm{d}\psi & = & 0. \end{array} \right. \tag{2.6}$$

Thus, in order to study Killing vector fields on standard static space-times we will concentrate our attention on the existence of solutions for System (2.6).

Since $\mathrm{d}\phi^{\overline{b}} = (\phi^{\overline{b}})'\mathrm{d}t$ with $\phi^{\overline{b}} \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(I)$ and $g_I(h\partial_t, \cdot) = -h\mathrm{d}t$, (2.6) is equivalent to

$$\left\{\begin{array}{rcl} h'\psi + \phi^{\overline{b}} K_{\overline{b}}(\ln f) & = & 0 \\ (\phi^{\overline{b}})'\mathrm{d}t \otimes g_F(K_{\overline{b}}, \cdot) & = & h\mathrm{d}t \otimes f^2 \mathrm{d}\psi, \end{array}\right. \tag{2.7}$$

and by raising indices in the second equation, it is also equivalent to

$$\left\{\begin{array}{lrcl} (a) & h'\psi + \phi^{\overline{b}} K_{\overline{b}}(\ln f) & = & 0 \\ (b) & (\phi^{\overline{b}})'\partial_t \otimes K_{\overline{b}} & = & h\partial_t \otimes f^2 \operatorname{grad}_F \psi. \end{array}\right. \tag{2.8}$$

First of all, we will apply a separation of variables procedure to the second equation in (2.8). Recall that $\{K_{\overline{b}}\}_{1 \le \overline{b} \le \overline{m}}$ is a basis of the Killing vector fields in (F, g_F). Thus by simple computations, it is possible to show that $(2.8-b)$ implies the following equation for $(\phi^{\overline{b}})'$

$$\begin{array}{rcl} (\phi^{\overline{b}})'(t) & = & [h(t) - h(t_0)]\gamma^{\overline{b}} + (\phi^{\overline{b}})'(t_0), \\ & = & \gamma^{\overline{b}} h(t) + \delta^{\overline{b}}, \end{array} \tag{2.9}$$

where $\gamma^{\overline{b}}$ and $\delta^{\overline{b}}$ $(= -h(t_0)\gamma^{\overline{b}} + (\phi^{\overline{b}})'(t_0),$ for some fixed t_0 in $I)$ are real constants for any choice of $\overline{b}$ where $1 \le \overline{b} \le \overline{m}$.

The solutions of the first order ordinary differential equation in (2.9) are given by

$$\phi^{\overline{b}}(t) = \gamma^{\overline{b}} \int_{t_0}^{t} h(s)ds + \delta^{\overline{b}} t + \eta^{\overline{b}}, \tag{2.10}$$

where $\eta^{\overline{b}}$ are constant for all $\overline{b}$.

By introducing (2.9) in $(2.8 - b)$, the last equation takes the following equivalent form:

$$h\partial_t \otimes [\gamma^{\overline{b}} K_{\overline{b}} - f^2 \operatorname{grad}_F \psi] = \partial_t \otimes [-\delta^{\overline{b}} K_{\overline{b}}]. \tag{2.11}$$

Thus, by recalling again that $\{K_{\overline{b}}\}_{1 \le \overline{b} \le \overline{m}}$ is a basis of the Killing vector fields in (F, g_F), there results three different cases, namely.

$h \equiv 0$**:** By (2.10), (2.8) takes the form

$$\left\{\begin{array}{lrcl} (a) & (\delta^{\overline{b}} t + \eta^{\overline{b}}) K_{\overline{b}}(\ln f) & = & 0 \\ (b) & \delta^{\overline{b}} K_{\overline{b}} & = & 0. \end{array}\right. \tag{2.12}$$

Since $\{K_{\overline{b}}\}_{1 \le \overline{b} \le \overline{m}}$ is a basis, $(2.12-b)$ implies that for any $\overline{b}$, we have $\delta^{\overline{b}} = 0$.

Thus $K = \eta^{\overline{b}} K_{\overline{b}}$, so that K is a linear combination of the elements in the basis $\{K_{\overline{b}}\}_{1 \le \overline{b} \le \overline{m}}$ and consequently, it is a Killing vector field on (F, g_F).

$h \equiv h_0 \neq 0$ *constant***:** By (2.10), (2.8) takes the form

$$\begin{cases} (a) & \phi^{\overline{b}} K_{\overline{b}}(\ln f) = 0 \\ (b) & \dfrac{1}{h_0}\left(\gamma^{\overline{b}} h_0 + \delta^{\overline{b}}\right) K_{\overline{b}} = f^2 \operatorname{grad}_F \psi, \end{cases} \tag{2.13}$$

where

$$\phi^{\overline{b}}(t) = \underbrace{(\gamma^{\overline{b}} h_0 + \delta^{\overline{b}})}_{h_0 \tau^{\overline{b}}}\, t + \underbrace{(\eta^{\overline{b}} - \gamma^{\overline{b}} h_0 t_0)}_{\omega^{\overline{b}}}. \tag{2.14}$$

Note that in particular, Equation $(2.13-b)$ implies that $f^2 \operatorname{grad}_F \psi$ is Killing on (F, g_F) and gives the coefficients of $f^2 \operatorname{grad}_F \psi$ with respect to the basis $\{K_{\overline{b}}\}_{1 \leq \overline{b} \leq \overline{m}}$. On the other hand, differentiating $(2.13-a)$ with respect to t and then considering $(2.13-b)$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= (\gamma^{\overline{b}} h_0 + \delta^{\overline{b}}) K_{\overline{b}}(\ln f) \\ &= h_0 (f^2 \operatorname{grad}_F \psi)(\ln f) \\ &= h_0 f g_F(\operatorname{grad}_F \psi, \operatorname{grad}_F f). \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, (2.14) and $(2.13-a)$ imply that

$$\omega^{\overline{b}} K_{\overline{b}}(\ln f) = 0.$$

Hence, we proved that (2.8) suffices to the following:

$$\begin{cases} f \in C^\infty_{>0}(F), \psi \in C^\infty(F); \\ f^2 \operatorname{grad}_F \psi \text{ is a Killing vector field on } (F, g_F) \text{ with} \\ \text{ coefficients } \{\tau_{\overline{b}}\}_{1 \leq \overline{b} \leq \overline{m}} \text{ relative to the basis } \{K_{\overline{b}}\}_{1 \leq \overline{b} \leq \overline{m}}; \\ (f^2 \operatorname{grad}_F \psi)(\ln f) = 0; \\ \forall \overline{b} : \phi^{\overline{b}}(t) = h_0 \tau^{\overline{b}} t + \omega^{\overline{b}} \text{ with } \omega^{\overline{b}} \in \mathbb{R} : \omega^{\overline{b}} K_{\overline{b}}(\ln f) = 0. \end{cases} \tag{2.15}$$

It is easy to prove that (2.8) is also necessary for (2.15).

Hence we proved that $K = \psi h_0 \partial_t + \phi^{\overline{b}} K_{\overline{b}}$ is Killing if and only if (2.15) is satisfied.

h nonconstant: The procedure for this case is a generalization of the previous, namely.

Since h is nonconstant, we can take t_0 such that $h(t_0) \neq 0$ and we will work on the subinterval I_{t_0} (see *Notation 2.6*).

First of all, note that by applying the separation of variables method in (2.11), the non-constancy of h implies that

$$\begin{cases} \gamma^{\overline{b}} K_{\overline{b}} - f^2 \operatorname{grad}_F \psi = 0 \\ \forall \overline{b} : \delta^{\overline{b}} = 0. \end{cases} \tag{2.16}$$

Thus, by (2.10),

$$\phi^{\overline{b}}(t) = \gamma^{\overline{b}} \int_{t_0}^{t} h(s) ds + \eta^{\overline{b}}. \tag{2.17}$$

On the other hand, by differentiating $(2.8-a)$ with respect to t and then by considering (2.16), we obtain

$$h''\psi + h(f^2 \operatorname{grad}_F \psi)(\ln f) = 0.$$

Besides, by considering (2.16), (2.17) and again $(2.8-a)$ there results

$$\left[h' - \frac{h''}{h}\int_{t_0}^{t} h(s)ds\right]\psi + \eta^{\overline{b}} K_{\overline{b}}(\ln f) = 0.$$

Thus, we proved that (2.8) is sufficient to

$$\left\{\begin{array}{l} f \in C^{\infty}_{>0}(F), \psi \in C^{\infty}(F); \\ f^2 \operatorname{grad}_F \psi \text{ is a Killing vector field on } (F, g_F) \text{ with} \\ \text{coefficients } \{\tau_{\overline{b}}\}_{1\leq \overline{b} \leq \overline{m}} \text{ relative to the basis } \{K_{\overline{b}}\}_{1\leq \overline{b} \leq \overline{m}}; \\ h''\psi + h(f^2 \operatorname{grad}_F \psi)(\ln f) = 0; \\ \forall \overline{b} : \phi^{\overline{b}}(t) = \tau^{\overline{b}} \displaystyle\int_{t_0}^{t} h(s)ds + \omega^{\overline{b}} \text{ with } \omega^{\overline{b}} \in \mathbb{R} : \\ \left[h' - \dfrac{h''}{h}\displaystyle\int_{t_0}^{t} h(s)ds\right]\psi + \omega^{\overline{b}} K_{\overline{b}}(\ln f) = 0 \text{ on } I_{t_0}. \end{array}\right. \tag{2.18}$$

It is easy to prove that (2.8) is also a necessary condition for (2.18), on an interval where h does not take the zero value.
It is not difficult to show that if $-\dfrac{h''}{h}$ is nonconstant, then $\psi \equiv 0$. Thus,

$$K = \phi^{\overline{b}} K_{\overline{b}} \text{ with } \phi^{\overline{b}}(t) = \omega^{\overline{b}} \text{ and } \omega^{\overline{b}} \in \mathbb{R} : \omega^{\overline{b}} K_{\overline{b}}(\ln f) = 0. \tag{2.19}$$

On the other hand, if $-\dfrac{h''}{h} = \nu$ is constant, the second statement of (2.18), namely

$$h''\psi + h(f^2 \operatorname{grad}_F \psi)(\ln f) = 0, \tag{2.20}$$

results equivalent to

$$\left\{\begin{array}{rcl} -h'' & = & \nu h \\ (f^2 \operatorname{grad}_F \psi)(\ln f) & = & \nu\psi. \end{array}\right. \tag{2.21}$$

Thus,

$$h(t) = \left\{\begin{array}{lll} ae^{\sqrt{-\nu}\,t} + be^{-\sqrt{-\nu}\,t} & \text{if} & \nu \neq 0 \\ at + b & \text{if} & \nu = 0, \end{array}\right. \tag{2.22}$$

where a and b are real constants.

Hence, by (2.18), (2.19) and (2.22), the problem (2.7) is equivalent to: (see Notation 2.6 for the definition of the interval I_{t_0} relative to the function h)

$$\left\{\begin{array}{l} (a)\begin{cases} f\in C^{\infty}_{>0}(F), \psi\equiv 0;\\ \phi^{\overline{b}}(t)=\omega^{\overline{b}} \text{ on } I_{t_0} \text{ where } \omega^{\overline{b}}\in\mathbb{R}:\omega^{\overline{b}}K_{\overline{b}}(\ln f)=0\end{cases}\\ \text{or}\\ (b)\begin{cases} f\in C^{\infty}_{>0}(F), \psi\in C^{\infty}(F);\\ f^2\operatorname{grad}_F\psi \text{ is a Killing vector field on } (F,g_F)\\ \text{with coefficients } \{\tau_{\overline{b}}\}_{1\leq\overline{b}\leq\overline{m}} \text{ relative to the}\\ \text{basis } \{K_{\overline{b}}\}_{1\leq\overline{b}\leq\overline{m}};\\ (f^2\operatorname{grad}_F\psi)(\ln f)=\nu\psi \text{ where } \nu \text{ is constant;}\\ h \text{ is given in (2.22);}\\ \forall\overline{b}:\phi^{\overline{b}}(t)=\tau^{\overline{b}}\displaystyle\int_{t_0}^{t}h(s)ds+\omega^{\overline{b}} \text{ with } \omega^{\overline{b}}\in\mathbb{R}:\\ h'(t_0)\psi+\omega^{\overline{b}}K_{\overline{b}}(\ln f)=0 \text{ on } I_{t_0}.\end{cases}\end{array}\right. \tag{2.23}$$

It is easy to prove that if a set of functions h, ψ and $\{\phi_{\overline{b}}\}_{1\leq\overline{b}\leq\overline{m}}$, satisfy (2.23) with a real interval I instead of I_{t_0}, then (2.7) is verified, that is, the vector field given by (2.5) on a standard static space-time of the form $M=I_f\times F$ is Killing.

Hence, in the precedent discussion we proved the following result.

Theorem 2.8. *Let (F,g_F) be a Riemannian manifold, $f\in C^{\infty}_{>0}(F)$ and $\{K_{\overline{b}}\}_{1\leq\overline{b}\leq\overline{m}}$ a basis of Killing vector fields on (F,g_F). Let also I be an open interval of the form $I=(t_1,t_2)$ in $\mathbb{R}$, where $-\infty\leq t_1<t_2\leq\infty$. Consider the standard static space-time $I_f\times F$ with the metric $g=-f^2\mathrm{d}t^2\oplus g_F$.*

Then, any Killing vector field on $I_f\times F$ admits the structure

$$K=\psi h\partial_t+\phi^{\overline{b}}K_{\overline{b}} \tag{2.24}$$

where h and $\phi^{\overline{b}}\in C^{\infty}(I)$ for any $\overline{b}\in\{1,\cdots,\overline{m}\}$ and $\psi\in C^{\infty}(F)$.

Furthermore, assume that K is a vector field on $I_f\times F$ with the structure as in (2.24). Then,

- **(i)** *if $h\equiv 0$, then the vector field $K=\phi^{\overline{b}}K_{\overline{b}}$ is Killing on the standard static space-time $I_f\times F$ if and only if the functions $\phi^{\overline{b}}$ are constant and $\phi^{\overline{b}}K_{\overline{b}}(\ln f)=0$.*
- **(ii)** *if $h\equiv h_0\neq 0$ is constant, then the vector field $K=\psi h_0\partial_t+\phi^{\overline{b}}K_{\overline{b}}$ is Killing on the standard static space-time $I_f\times F$ if and only if (2.15) is satisfied.*
- **(iii)** *if K is a Killing vector field on the standard static space-time $I_f\times F$ with the nonconstant function h, then the set of functions h, ψ and $\{\phi_{\overline{b}}\}_{1\leq\overline{b}\leq\overline{m}}$ satisfy (2.23) for any $t_0\in I$ with $h(t_0)\neq 0$.*

  Conversely, if a set of functions h, ψ and $\{\phi_{\overline{b}}\}_{1\leq\overline{b}\leq\overline{m}}$, satisfy (2.23) with an arbitrary t_0 in I and the entire interval I (instead of I_{t_0}), then the vector field $\tilde{K}$ on the standard static space-time

$I_f \times F$ associated to the set of functions as in (2.24) *is Killing on $I_f \times F$.*

By completeness we consider in the following lemma the case where the Riemannian manifold (F, g_F) admits no non identically zero Killing vector fields.

Lemma 2.9. *Let (F, g_F) be a Riemannian manifold of dimension s and $f \in C^\infty_{>0}(F)$. Let also I be an open interval of the form $I = (t_1, t_2)$ in $\mathbb{R}$, where $-\infty \leq t_1 < t_2 \leq \infty$. Suppose that the only Killing vector field on (F, g_F) is the zero vector field. Then all the Killing vector fields on the standard static space-time $I_f \times F$ are given by $h_0 \partial_t$ where h_0 is a constant.*

Proof. Indeed, by Proposition 2.7 if K is a Killing vector field on $I_f \times F$, then $K = \psi h \partial_t$ where $\psi \in C^\infty(F)$ and $h \in C^\infty(I)$. Then, by similar arguments to those applied to the system (2.8), a vector field of the latter form is Killing if and only if the following equations are verified

$$\begin{cases} (a) & h'\psi = 0 \\ (b) & h\partial_t \otimes f^2 \operatorname{grad}_F \psi = 0. \end{cases}$$

As an immediate consequence, either h and ψ are constants or $\psi \equiv 0$. □

Remark 2.10. If the Riemannian manifold (F, g_F) admits a nonidentical zero Killing vector field, then the family of Killing vector fields obtained in *Corollary 2.5* corresponds to the case of $\psi \equiv 1$ in *Theorem 2.8 (iii)*. Thus, (2.23) implies that $\nu = 0$ and $\tau^{\overline{b}} = 0$ for any $\overline{b}$ and also $h(t) = at + b$ is affine and $\phi^{\overline{b}} = \omega^{\overline{b}}$ is constant such that $\phi^{\overline{b}} K_{\overline{b}}(\ln f) = \phi^{\overline{b}} K_{\overline{b}}(\ln f) = -a$. The latter conditions agree with those in *Corollary 2.5*.

In other words, if ν is nonzero, then the family of Killing vector fields in *Theorem 2.8 (iii)* are different form those in *Corollary 2.5*, they correspond to the so called non-trivial Killing vector fields in [26].

Remark 2.11. Let $f \in C^\infty_{>0}(F)$ be smooth. For any $\nu \in \mathbb{R}$, we consider the problem given by

$$\begin{cases} f^2 \operatorname{grad}_F \psi \text{ is a Killing vector field on } (F, g_F); \\ (f^2 \operatorname{grad}_F \psi)(\ln f) = \nu\psi; \\ \psi \in C^\infty(F); \end{cases} \tag{2.25}$$

and define $\mathcal{K}^\nu_f = \{\psi \in C^\infty(F) : \psi \text{ verifies } (2.25)\}$. It is easy to show that $\mathcal{K}^\nu_f$ is an $\mathbb{R}-$subspace of $C^\infty(F)$. In particular, if $\psi \in \mathcal{K}^\nu_f$ then

$$(f^2 \operatorname{grad}_F \lambda\, \psi)(\ln f) = \lambda\nu\, \psi,\ \forall \lambda \in \mathbb{R} \tag{2.26}$$

Consequently, if $\{\tau_{\overline{b}}\}_{1 \leq \overline{b} \leq \overline{m}}$ is the set of coefficients of the Killing vector field $f^2 \operatorname{grad}_F \psi$ with respect to the basis $\{K_{\overline{b}}\}_{1 \leq \overline{b} \leq \overline{m}}$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, then

$$-\lambda\nu\, \psi + \omega^{\overline{b}} K_{\overline{b}}(\ln f) = 0, \tag{2.27}$$

where $\omega^{\overline{b}} = \lambda \tau^{\overline{b}}$, for any $\overline{b}$.

Notice that, this is particularly useful in order to simplify the hypothesis of (2.23) when $\nu \neq 0$.

In order to analyze the existence of nontrivial solutions for the problem (2.25) (notice that this is relevant in (2.23) and (2.15)), we introduce the following notation.

Notation 2.12. Let (F, g_F) be a Riemannian manifold. Suppose that $Z \in \mathfrak{X}(F)$ is a vector field on (F, g_F) and $\varphi \in C^\infty(F)$ is a smooth function on F. Then define a (0,2)-tensor on F as follows:

$$B_Z^\varphi(\cdot,\cdot) := \mathrm{d}\varphi(\cdot) \otimes g_F(Z,\cdot) + g_F(\cdot,Z) \otimes \mathrm{d}\varphi(\cdot). \tag{2.28}$$

Proposition 2.13. *Let (F, g_F) be a Riemannian manifold, $f \in C^\infty_{>0}(F)$ and $\psi \in C^\infty(F)$. Then the vector field $f^2 \operatorname{grad}_F \psi$ is Killing on (F, g_F) if and only if*

$$\mathrm{H}_F^\psi + \frac{1}{f} B^f_{\operatorname{grad}_F \psi} = 0, \tag{2.29}$$

Proof. We begin by recalling two results. For all smooth functions $\varphi \in C^\infty(F)$ and vector fields $X, Y \in \mathfrak{X}(F)$, we have

$$\mathrm{H}_F^\varphi(X,Y) = g_F(\nabla_X^F \operatorname{grad}_F \varphi, Y) = \frac{1}{2}\mathrm{L}^F_{\operatorname{grad}_F \varphi} g_F(X,Y).$$

Moreover, for any vector field $Z \in \mathfrak{X}(F)$, the following general formula can be stated.

$$\mathrm{L}_{\varphi Z} g_F(\cdot,\cdot) = \varphi \mathrm{L}_Z g_F(\cdot,\cdot) + \mathrm{d}\varphi(\cdot) \otimes g_F(Z,\cdot) + g_F(\cdot,Z) \otimes \mathrm{d}\varphi(\cdot).$$

By applying the latter formulas to the vector field $f^2 \nabla_F \psi$, we obtain the following:

$$\mathrm{L}^F_{f^2 \operatorname{grad}_F \psi} g_F = f^2 \mathrm{L}_{\operatorname{grad}_F \psi} g_F + B^{f^2}_{\operatorname{grad}_F \psi} = 2f^2 \left[\mathrm{H}_F^\psi + \frac{1}{f} B^f_{\operatorname{grad}_F \psi}\right].$$

Then one can conclude that $f^2 \operatorname{grad}_F \psi$ is a Killing vector field on (F, g_F) if and only if (2.29) is satisfied. □

In order to study Killing vector fields on standard static space-times, notice the central role of the problem given below, i.e, (2.30) which appears throughout *Theorem 2.8*, *Proposition 2.13* and the identity stated as $f g_F(\operatorname{grad}_F \psi, \operatorname{grad}_F f) = (f \operatorname{grad}_F \psi)(f)$,

$$\begin{cases} f \in C^\infty_{>0}(F), \psi \in C^\infty(F); \\ \mathrm{H}_F^\psi + \frac{1}{f} B^f_{\operatorname{grad}_F \psi} = 0; \\ f g_F(\operatorname{grad}_F \psi, \operatorname{grad}_F f) = \nu\psi \text{ where } \nu \text{ is a constant.} \end{cases} \tag{2.30}$$

Remark 2.14. By *Proposition 2.13*, if the dimension of the Lie algebra of Killing vector fields of (F, g_F) is zero, then the system (2.30) has only the trivial solution given by a constant ψ (this constant is not 0 only if $\nu = 0$). This happens, for instance when (F, g_F) is a compact Riemannian manifold of negative-definite Ricci curvature without boundary, indeed it is sufficient to apply the vanishing theorem due to Bochner (see for instance [9], [6, Theorem 1.84] or [24, Proposition 6.6 of Chapter III]).

Lemma 2.15. *Let* (F, g_F) *be a Riemannian manifold and* $f \in C^\infty_{>0}(F)$. *If* (ν, ψ) *satisfies* (2.30), *then* ν *is an eigenvalue and* ψ *is an associated* $\nu-$*eigenfunction of the elliptic problem:*

$$-\Delta_{g_F}\psi = \nu\frac{2}{f^2}\psi \quad \text{on } (F, g_F). \tag{2.31}$$

Proof. First of all, note that

$$B^f_{\operatorname{grad}_F \psi} = \mathrm{d}f \otimes \mathrm{d}\psi + \mathrm{d}\psi \otimes \mathrm{d}f, \tag{2.32}$$

then by taking the g_F-trace of the both sides, we have:

$$\operatorname{trace} B^f_{\operatorname{grad}_F \psi} = 2g_F(\operatorname{grad}_F \psi, \operatorname{grad}_F f). \tag{2.33}$$

Thus, by taking the g_F-trace of the first equation in (2.30) and then by applying the second equation of (2.30), we obtain (2.31). Hence, ν belongs to the spectrum of the weighted eigenvalue problem (2.31). □

Remark 2.16. Let (F, g_F) be a Riemannian manifold.

i: Notice that similar arguments to those applied in Lemma 2.15 allow us to prove that the system (2.30) is *equivalent* to

$$\begin{cases} f \in C^\infty_{>0}(F), \psi \in C^\infty(F); \\ \mathrm{H}^\psi_F + \dfrac{1}{f}B^f_{\operatorname{grad}_F \psi} = 0; \\ -\Delta_{g_F}\psi = \nu\dfrac{2}{f^2}\psi \text{ where } \nu \text{ is a constant.} \end{cases} \tag{2.34}$$

ii: Assuming (2.34) (or equivalently (2.30)), if $p \in F$ is a critical point of f or ψ, then $\nu = 0$ or $\psi(p) = 0$.

Proposition 2.17. *Let* (F, g_F) *be a compact Riemannian manifold and* $f \in C^\infty_{>0}(F)$. *Then* (ν, ψ) *satisfies* (2.30) *if and only if* $\nu = 0$ *and* ψ *is constant.*

Proof. The necessity part is clear, so we will concentrate our attention on the sufficiency part.

First of all, notice that by the second equation of (2.30), if $p \in F$ is a critical point of ψ, then $\nu\psi(p) = 0$. Then, since (F, g_F) is compact, there exists a point $p_0 \in F$ such that $\psi(p_0) = \inf_F \psi$ and consequently, $\nu\psi(p_0) = 0$.

On the other hand, by applying *Lemma 2.15*, one can conclude that ν is an eigenvalue and ψ is an associated $\nu-$eigenfunction of the elliptic problem (2.31). Besides, since (F, g_F) is compact, it is well known that the eigenvalues of (2.31) form a sequence in $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ and the only eigenfunctions without changing sign are the constants corresponding to the eigenvalue 0.

Thus, if $\psi(p_0) \geq 0$, then $\nu = 0$ and ψ results a nonnegative constant. Alternatively, if $\psi(p_0) < 0$, then $\nu\psi(p_0) = 0$, so $\nu = 0$. As a consequence of that, ψ is a negative constant. □

Theorem 2.8 and *Proposition 2.17* provide the characterization of the Killing vector fields on a standard static space-time when its Riemannian part is compact (compare the result with *Corollary 2.5*).

Theorem 2.18. *Let (F, g_F) be a Riemannian manifold, $f \in C^\infty_{>0}(F)$ and I be an open interval of the form $I = (t_1, t_2)$ in $\mathbb{R}$, where $-\infty \leq t_1 < t_2 \leq \infty$. Consider the standard static space-time $I_f \times F$ with the metric $g = -f^2 \mathrm{d}t^2 \oplus g_F$. If (F, g_F) is compact then, the set of all Killing vector fields on the standard static space-time (M, g) is given by*

$$\{a\partial_t + \tilde{K} \,|\, a \in \mathbb{R}, \tilde{K} \text{ is a Killing vector field on } (F, g_F) \text{ and } \tilde{K}(f) = 0\}.$$

Proof. If (F, g_F) has only the zero Killing vector field, the result is an easy consequence of Lemma 2.9.

Let us consider now the case there exists a basis $\{K_{\overline{b}}\}_{1 \leq \overline{b} \leq \overline{m}}$ for the space of Killing vector fields on (F, g_F). *Theorem 2.8* and *Proposition 2.17* imply that a vector field K on the standard static space-time (M, g) is Killing if and only if it admits the structure

$$K = \psi h \partial_t + \phi^{\overline{b}} K_{\overline{b}}, \tag{2.35}$$

where

1. $h(t) = at + b$ with constants a and b;
2. ψ is constant;
3. $\phi^{\overline{b}}$ are constants satisfying $a\psi + \phi^{\overline{b}} K_{\overline{b}}(\ln f) = 0$.

Since (F, g_F) is compact, then $\inf_F \ln f$ is reached on a point $p_0 \in F$. Set $\tilde{K} = \phi^{\overline{b}} K_{\overline{b}}$. So $\tilde{K}(\ln f)|_{p_0} = 0$ and by (3) $a = 0$ or $\psi = 0$. Hence we proved that all the Killing vector fields on $I_f \times F$ are given by a Killing vector field on (F, g_F) plus eventually a real multiple of ∂_t. Note that $\tilde{K}(\ln f) = \dfrac{1}{f}\tilde{K}(f)$, so by (3) $\tilde{K}(f) = 0$. □

Remark 2.19. *(Killing vector fields in the Einstein static universe)* In [30], the author studied Killing vector fields of a closed homogeneous and isotropic universe (for related questions in quantum field theory and cosmology see [11, 12, 16, 21]). Theorem 6.1 of [30] corresponds to our Theorem 2.18 for the spherical universe $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{S}^3$ with the pseudo-metric $-(R^2 \mathrm{d}t^2 - R^2 h_0)$, where the sphere $\mathbb{S}^3$ endowed with the usual metric h_0 induced by the canonical Euclidean metric of $\mathbb{R}^4$ and R is a real constant (i.e., a stable universe).

As we have already mentioned in *Remark 2.14*, any Killing vector field of a compact Riemannian manifold of negative-definite Ricci tensor is equal to zero. Thus, one can easily state the following result.

Corollary 2.20. *Let $M = I_f \times F$ be a standard static space-time with the metric $g = -f^2 \mathrm{d}t^2 \oplus g_F$. Suppose that (F, g_F) is a compact Riemannian manifold of negative-definite Ricci tensor. Then, any Killing vector field on the standard static space-time (M, g) is given by $a\partial_t$ where $a \in \mathbb{R}$.*

3. NON-ROTATING KILLING VECTOR FIELDS

In this section we apply Theorem 2.18 of *Section 2* to the analysis of non-rotating Killing vector fields on standard static space-times also called *static regular predictable space-times* in [19, p.325] (also see a recent article, i.e, [29] for a related question).

We first recall the definition of the curl operator on semi-Riemannian manifolds as: if V is a vector field on a semi-Riemannian manifold (M,g), then $\operatorname{curl} V$ is the 2-covariant tensor field defined by

$$\operatorname{curl} V(X,Y) := g(\nabla_X V, Y) - g(\nabla_Y V, X), \tag{3.1}$$

where $X, Y \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$ (see for instance [22, 17]). Thus, it is easy to prove

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{curl}(\phi V)(X,Y) &= X(\phi) g(V,Y) - Y(\phi) g(V,X) \\ &+ \phi \operatorname{curl} V(X,Y), \end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

where X, Y are as above and $\phi \in C^\infty(M)$.

We will follow the next definitions (see [17, 18]): A vector field V on the semi-Riemannian manifold (M,g) is said to be

non-rotating: [2] if $\operatorname{curl} V(X,Y) = 0$ for all $X, Y \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$.
orthogonally irrotational: [3] if $\operatorname{curl} V(X,Y) = 0$ for any $X, Y \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$ orthogonal to V. This condition is equivalent to "V has integrable orthogonal distribution".

Notice that being orthogonally irrotational is necessary for being non-rotating. Furthermore (3.2) implies that if V is orthogonally irrotational, then so is ϕV for any $\phi \in C^\infty_{>0}(M)$. Indeed, since ϕ does not vanish, X is orthogonal to ϕV if and only if it is orthogonal to V.
However, if V is non-rotating then, ϕV is not necessarily non-rotating for some $\phi \in C^\infty_{>0}(M)$ (see (3.2)).

Proposition 3.1. *Let κ be a Killing vector field on the standard static space-time $(\mathbb{R} \times F, g := -f^2 dt^2 + g_F)$ such that $(\operatorname{curl} \kappa)(X,Y) = 0$ for all X, Y orthogonal vector fields to ∂_t defined on $(\mathbb{R} \times F, g)$. If (F, g_F) is compact and simply connected, then $\kappa = a\partial_t$ where a is a real constant. In particular, κ becomes time-like if $a \neq 0$.*

Proof. First of all we observe that it is easy to prove the following identity.

$$-f^2 \operatorname{grad} t = \partial_t. \tag{3.3}$$

---

[2] In [17, 18] this condition is called irrotational.

[3] In [22, 29] this condition is called irrotational.

Thus by using (3.2),

$$\begin{aligned}(\mathrm{curl}\,\partial_t)(X,Y) &= \left(\mathrm{curl}\, f^2(-\,\mathrm{grad}\, t)\right)(X,Y) \\ &= \frac{X(f^2)}{f^2} g(\partial_t, Y) - \frac{Y(f^2)}{f^2} g(\partial_t, X) \\ &- f^2 \underbrace{(\mathrm{curl}\,\mathrm{grad}\, t)}_{=0}(X,Y), \\ &= \frac{X(f^2)}{f^2} g(\partial_t, Y) - \frac{Y(f^2)}{f^2} g(\partial_t, X).\end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

Hence,

$$\begin{gathered}(\mathrm{curl}\,\partial_t)(X,Y) = 0 \text{ for all } X, Y \text{ orthogonal} \\ \text{vector fields to } \partial_t \text{ on } (\mathbb{R}\times F, g),\end{gathered} \tag{3.5}$$

i.e., ∂_t is orthogonally irrotational. Up to this point, we have not considered the compactness and the simply connectedness of the Riemannian part.

Notice that letting κ be a Killing vector field on $(\mathbb{R}\times F, g)$ with (F, g_F) compact, Theorem 2.18 implies that $\kappa = a\partial_t + K$ where a is a constant and K is a Killing vector field on (F, g_F) with $K(f) = 0$. Hence and recalling that $(\mathrm{curl}\,\kappa)(X,Y) = 0$ for all X, Y orthogonal vector fields to ∂_t on $(\mathbb{R}\times F, g)$, the curl on $(\mathbb{R}\times F, g)$ is linear and (3.5), we obtain that

$$\mathrm{curl}\, K(X,Y) = 0, \tag{3.6}$$

for all X, Y orthogonal vector fields to ∂_t on $(\mathbb{R}\times F, g)$. It is clear that the lifts of the elements in $\mathfrak{X}(F)$ verify the latter condition and as consequence (3.6).

On the other hand, by the well known relations between the Levi-Civita connections on (F, g_F) and on the warped product $(\mathbb{R}\times F,\ -f^2dt^2 + g_F)$ (see for instance [22] p. 206 Proposition 35) and by the definition of the curl, there results $\mathrm{curl}_F\, K = 0$ (curl_F denotes the curl on the Riemannian manifold (F, g_F)), i.e. K is non-rotating on (F, g_F). Furthermore, when (F, g_F) is simply connected, then K is a gradient (see [7]), i.e. there exists $\psi \in C^\infty(F)$ such that $\mathrm{grad}\,\psi = K$.

Since K is a Killing vector field on the Riemannian manifold (F, g_F) and is the gradient of a function $\psi \in C^\infty(F)$, then Proposition 2.13 (with $f \equiv 1$) implies that the Hessian $H_F^\psi \equiv 0$ on F. Besides that if F is a compact Riemannian manifold without boundary, then by taking the g_F-trace of H_F^ψ and applying the maximum principle one can deduce that ψ is a constant and consequently, $K \equiv 0$.

Thus we have established that $\kappa = a\partial_t$, where a is constant. □

Notice that (3.4) implies that ∂_t is non-rotating on the standard static space-time of the form $(\mathbb{R}\times F, -f^2dt^2 + g_F)$ if f is a positive constant. Hence, we can state and prove the following lemma.

Lemma 3.2. *∂_t is non-rotating on the standard static space-time of the form $(\mathbb{R} \times F, g := -f^2dt^2 + g_F)$ if and only if f is a positive constant.*

Proof 1. First of all we recall $g(\partial_t, \partial_t) = -f^2$. Then, by the hypothesis and the properties that characterized the Levi-Civita connection, for any vector field Z on $(\mathbb{R} \times F, g := -f^2dt^2 + g_F)$ the following holds

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \operatorname{curl} \partial_t(\partial_t, Z) = g(\nabla_{\partial_t}\partial_t, Z) - \underbrace{g(\nabla_Z\partial_t, \partial_t)}_{\frac{1}{2}Zg(\partial_t,\partial_t)} \\ &= g(\nabla_{\partial_t}\partial_t, Z) + \frac{1}{2}Zf^2. \end{aligned} \tag{3.7}$$

So, by the definition of the gradient operator denoted by grad,

$$-\nabla_{\partial_t}\partial_t = \frac{1}{2}\operatorname{grad} f^2. \tag{3.8}$$

Now, by applying [22, p. 206 - Proposition 35] or [14, Theorem 3.4] as above,

$$-\nabla_{\partial_t}\partial_t = -\underbrace{\nabla^{(-dt^2)}_{\partial_t}\partial_t}_{0} + f\underbrace{(-dt^2)(\partial_t, \partial_t)}_{-1}\operatorname{grad} f = -\frac{1}{2}\operatorname{grad} f^2. \tag{3.9}$$

The latter and the expression (3.8) imply $\operatorname{grad} f^2 = 0$, more explicitly f is a positive constant. □

Proof 2. An alternative and simpler proof follows from (3.4). Indeed, an immediate consequence of the latter is

$$(\operatorname{curl} \partial_t)(\partial_t, Z) = Z(f^2), \tag{3.10}$$

for any vector field Z on $(\mathbb{R} \times F, g := -f^2dt^2 + g_F)$. Thus, if ∂_t is non-rotating, then f is a positive constant. □

Corollary 3.3. *Let (F, g_F) be a compact and simply connected Riemannian manifold. If $f \in C^\infty_{>0}(M)$ is nonconstant, then there is no nontrivial (non-identically zero) non-rotating Killing vector field on the standard static space-time $(\mathbb{R} \times F, g := -f^2dt^2 + g_F)$.*

Proof. In order to apply Proposition 3.1, it is sufficient to note that if κ is a non-rotating Killing vector field on $(\mathbb{R} \times F, g := -f^2dt^2 + g_F)$, then $(\operatorname{curl} \kappa)(X, Y) = 0$ for all X, Y orthogonal vector fields to ∂_t on $(\mathbb{R} \times F, g)$. Thus, $\operatorname{curl} \kappa = a \operatorname{curl} \partial_t = 0$. So, by Lemma 3.2, $\kappa = 0$ or f is a positive constant. □

Remark 3.4. Notice that in Proposition 3.1 the involved vector fields necessarily turn out to be causal (i.e., non-space-like). The conclusion would be wrong if we eliminated the simply connectedness. For example, consider the vector field $a\partial_t + \partial_\theta$ where $a < 1$ on $(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{S}^1, -\mathrm{d}t^2 + \mathrm{d}\theta^2)$. This is a non-rotating Killing vector field and yet not time-like due to $a < 1$, so its pseudo-norm is $-a^2 + 1 > 0$.

4. Conclusions

It is very well known that a space-time possesses a symmetry if it admits a Killing vector fields. Thus existence and characterization problems of Killing vector fields are extremely important in the geometry of space-times. Therefore, we considered Killing vector fields of standard static space-times and roughly proved that if a vector field K on the space-time is Killing, then K has to be of the form:

$$K = \psi h \partial_t + \phi^{\overline{b}} K_{\overline{b}},$$

where $\{K_{\overline{b}}\}_{1 \leq \overline{b} \leq \overline{m}}$ is a basis of Killing vector fields on (F, g_F) and $h, \phi^{\overline{b}} \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(I)$ and $\psi \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(F)$ satisfy the system

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{rcl} h'\psi + \phi^{\overline{b}} K_{\overline{b}}(\ln f) & = & 0, \\ \mathrm{d}\phi^{\overline{b}} \otimes g_F(K_{\overline{b}}, \cdot) + g_I(h\partial_t, \cdot) \otimes f^2 \mathrm{d}\psi & = & 0. \end{array} \right.$$

In *Theorem 2.8* we have characterized the solutions of the latter system. We remarked the centrality of the problem (2.25) or equivalently (2.30) and (2.34).

As a consequence of *Theorem 2.8* and the well known results about the eigenvalues and eigenfunctions of a positively weighted elliptic problem on a compact Riemannian manifold without boundary, we also provided a characterization of the Killing vector fields on a standard static space-time with compact Riemannian part in *Theorem 2.18.* Note that by combining this theorem with the vanishing results of Bochner (see *Remark 2.14*), we obtain that in a standard static space-time with compact Riemannian part of negative Ricci curvature without boundary, the unique Killing vector fields are time-like of the form $c\partial_t$ where $c \in \mathbb{R}$ is constant.

Furthermore, in Corollary 3.3 we show that there is no non trivial non-rotating Killing vector field on a standard static space-time where the so called natural space is compact and simply connected.

Appendix A.

Suppose that $\mathscr{M}$ is a module over a ring and $\mathscr{W} \subseteq \mathscr{M}$. If $V \in \mathscr{M}$, then we will use the following notation $V + \mathscr{W} = \{V + W : W \in \mathscr{W}\}$.

Let (F, g_F) be a Riemannian manifold of dimension s. Consider the Lie algebra of Killing vector fields on (F, g_F) denoted by $\mathscr{K}$. Given $\varphi, \psi \in C^\infty(F)$ we denote

$$\mathscr{K}_\varphi^\psi = \{K \in \mathscr{K} : K(\varphi) = \psi\}. \tag{A.1}$$

$\mathscr{K}_\varphi^0$ is an $\mathbb{R}-$subspace of $\mathscr{K}$ (it is also a sub-Lie algebra of $\mathscr{K}$).
By linear algebra arguments, it is clear that

$$\mathscr{K}_\varphi^\psi = \widehat{K} + \mathscr{K}_\varphi^0, \tag{A.2}$$

where $\widehat{K} \in \mathscr{K}_\varphi^\psi$ is fixed.

Let us assume the hypothesis of Theorem 2.8 where (ν, ψ) is a solution of (2.25). Then, by Theorem 2.8 and (A.2), the set of Killing vector fields on $I_f \times F$ is given by

$$\psi h \partial_t + \int_{t_0}^{t} h(s) ds f^2 \operatorname{grad} \psi + \widehat{K} + \mathscr{K}_{\ln f}^{0}, \tag{A.3}$$

where $\widehat{K} \in \mathscr{K}_{\ln f}^{-h'(t_0)\psi}$ is fixed.

If $\nu \neq 0$, then $\widehat{K}$ may be taken as $-\dfrac{h'(t_0)}{\nu} f^2 \operatorname{grad} \psi$. Indeed, this is an immediate consequence of $(f^2 \operatorname{grad}_F \psi)(\ln f) = \nu \psi$ in (2.23).

Notice that the description of the Killing vector fields on standard static space-times given by (A.3) shows up again in the centrality of the problem (2.25) (and naturally of the equivalent problems (2.30) and (2.34)) in the study of this question.

Example A.1. (*Killing vector fields in the Minkowski space-time*) Let $(F, g_F) = (\mathbb{R}^s, g_0)$ where g_0 is the canonical metric and $f \equiv 1$ be. Thus, it is easy to show that the solutions of (2.34) are (ν, ψ) where $\nu = 0$ or $\psi \equiv 0$. Furthermore if $\nu = 0$, then $\psi(x) = c^i x_i + d$ where $\forall i : 1 \leq i \leq s, c^i \in \mathbb{R}$ and $d \in \mathbb{R}$. Recall that for $\nu = 0$, $h(t) = at + b$ where $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$.

On the other hand, the condition $\widehat{K} \in \mathscr{K}_{\ln f}^{-h'(t_0)\psi}$ is now equivalent to $h'(t_0)(c^i x_i + d) \equiv 0$.

Hence, all the Killing vector fields of the Minkowski space-time are

$$(c^i x_i + d)(at + b)\partial_t + \int_{t_0}^{t} (as + b) ds \, c^i \partial_i + \mathscr{K},$$

where $a, b, c_i, d \in \mathbb{R}$ satisfy $a(c^i x_i + d) \equiv 0$. Precisely, these are

$$(c^i x_i + d)\partial_t + (t - t_0) \, c^i \partial_i + \mathscr{K}$$

or equivalently (taking $t_0 = 0$)

$$c^i \underbrace{(x_i \partial_t + t \partial_i)}_{\text{Lorentz boosts}} + d\partial_t + \mathscr{K},$$

where $c_i, d \in \mathbb{R}$. Thus the dimension of the Lie algebra of the Killing vector fields of the Minkowski space-time is $s + 1 + s(s+1)/2 = (s+1)(s+2)/2$.

References

[1] Allison D.E., *Energy conditions in standard static space-times*, General Relativity and Gravitation, **20**, No. 2, (1988), 115-122.

[2] Allison D.E., *Geodesic completeness in static spacetimes*, Geometriae Dedicata **26**, (1988), 85-97.

[3] Allison D. E. *Lorentzian warped products and static space-times*, Ph.D. Thesis, University of Missouri-Columbia, 1985.

[4] Allison D. E. and Ünal B., *Geodesic Structure of Standard Static Space-times*, Journal of Geometry and Physics, **46** (2), (2003), 193-200.

[5] Beem J. K., Ehrlich P. E. and Easley K. L., *Global Lorentzian Geometry*, (2nd Ed.), Marcel Dekker, New York, 1996.

[6] Besse, A. L., *Einstein Manifolds*, Springer-Verlag, Heidelberg, 1987.

[7] Bishop, R. L., and S.I. Goldberg S.I., *Tensor Analysis on Manifolds*, Dover, New York, 1980.

[8] B. Bishop and B. O'Neill, *Manifolds of negative curvature*, Trans. Am. Math. Soc., **145** (1969), 1-49.

[9] Bochner S., *Vector fields and Ricci curvature*, Bull. Am. Math. Sc., **52**, (1946), 776-797.

[10] Carot J. and da Costa J., *On the geometry of warped spacetimes*, Class. Quantum Grav., **10**, (1993), 461-482.

[11] DeWitt B. S., Quantum field theory in curved space-time, PHYSICS REPORTS (Section C of Physics Letters) 19, no. 6 (1975) 295-357.

[12] DeWitt B. S., *The global approach to quantum field theory*, Oxford Science Publications, 2003.

[13] Dobarro F. and Ünal B., *Special standard static spacetimes*, Nonlinear Analysis: Theory, Methods & Applications, **59** (5), (2004), 759-770.

[14] Dobarro F. and Ünal B., *Curvature in Special Base Conformal Warped Products*, arXiv:math/0412436.

[15] Dobarro F. and Ünal B., *On Warped Product Space-Times: Conformal Hyperbolicity and Killing Vector Fields*, arXiv:math/0607113.

[16] Fulling S. A., *Aspects of quantum field theory in curved space-time*, Cambridge University Press, UK, 1987.

[17] Gutiérrez M. and Olea B., *Splitting Theorems in Presence of an Irrotational Vector Field*, arXiv:math/0306343.

[18] Gutiérrez M. and Olea B., *Global Decomposition of a Lorentzian Manifold as a Generalized Robertson-Walker Space*, arXiv:math/0701067.

[19] Hawking S. W. and Ellis G. F. R., *The Large Scale Structure of Space-time*, Cambridge University Press, UK, 1973.

[20] Kobayashi O. and Obata, M., *Certain mathematical problems on static models in general relativity*, Proceedings of the 1980 Beijing Symposium on Differential Geometry and Differential Equations, Vol. 3, (eds. S. S. Chern and W. Wen-tsün), 1980, 1333-1344.

[21] Landau L. D. and Lifshits E. M., *Field Theory*, Vol. II of *Theoretical Physics*, Nauka publishers, Moscow, 1988.

[22] O'Neill, B., *Semi-Riemannian Geometry With Applications to Relativity*, Academic Press, New York, 1983.

[23] Romero, A. and Sánchez M., *On the completeness of certain families of semi-Riemannian manifolds*, Geometriae Dedicata **53**, (1994), 103-117.

[24] Sakai T., *Riemannian Geometry*, Translation of Mathematical Monographs **149**, AMS, RI, 1996.

[25] Sánchez, M., *Lorentzian manifolds admitting a Killing vector field*, Nonlinear Analysis **30**, (1997), 643-654.

[26] Sánchez, M., *On the geometry of generalized Robertson-Walker spacetimes: curvature and Killing fields*, J. Geom. Phys. **31**, (1999), 1-15.

[27] Sánchez, M., *Geodesic connectedness of semi-Riemannian manifolds*, Nonlinear Analysis **47**, (2001), 3085-3102.

[28] Sánchez, M., *On the geometry of static spacetimes*, Nonlinear Analysis: Theory, Methods & Applications **63**, (2005), e455-e463.

[29] Sánchez, M. and Senovilla J. M. M., *A note on the uniqueness of global static decompositions*, arXiv:0709.0305v1[gr-qc].

[30] Sharipov R. A., *On Killing vector fields of a homogeneous and isotropic universe in closed model*, arXiv:0708.2508v1[math.DG].

[31] Ünal B., *Doubly Warped Products*, Ph. D. Thesis, University of Missouri-Columbia, 2000.

[32] Ünal B. *Doubly Warped Products*, Differential Geometry and Its Applications, **15** (3), (2001), 253-263.

(F. Dobarro) Dipartimento di Matematica e Informatica, Università degli Studi di Trieste, Via Valerio 12/B, I-34127 Trieste, Italy
E-mail address: `dobarro@dmi.units.it`

(B. Ünal) Department of Mathematics, Bilkent University, Bilkent, 06800 Ankara, Turkey
E-mail address: `bulentunal@mail.com`